## To Accept or to Reject: The Effect of Framing on Attitudes Toward Affirmative Action<sup>1</sup>

EYAL GAMLIEL<sup>2</sup>
Behavioral Sciences Department
Ruppin Academic Center
Emek Hefer, Israel

Two experiments examined the effect of framing on attitudes toward an affirmative-action program of preferential treatment. Participants' attitudes were consistently more favorable toward the affirmative-action program presented in a positive frame—preferring a target group's applicant over a majority group's applicant—than when the very same program was presented in a negative frame—rejecting the majority group's applicant in favor of the target group's applicant. Similar effects were evident for 3 target groups in the context of higher education selection and personnel selection. Two theoretical explanations for the effect of framing on attitudes toward affirmative-action programs are suggested. The implications of this effect are discussed, and the challenges facing future research of this phenomenon are outlined.

Affirmative action is implemented by various programs in relation to various target groups, both in personnel selection and admission to institutions of higher education. Research on attitudes toward affirmative-action programs (AAPs) has investigated between-group differences in attitudes toward these programs and the variability of such attitudes among individuals as a function of different variables.

## Attitudes Toward Affirmative Action

Between-Group Differences in Attitudes Toward AAPs

Different types of groups will have different attitudes toward AAPs. People who belong to a target group tend to be more supportive of AAPs than those who belong to the majority group (i.e., the non-target group),

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by a grant from the Israel Foundations Trustees (2004–2006). The author thanks Eyal Peer and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments on an earlier draft and Anat David-Markovitz, Nili Feingersch-Dvir, Ativ Levi, and Shimrit Yemini for their help in data collection and analysis.

<sup>2</sup>Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Eyal Gamliel, Behavioral Sciences Department, Ruppin Academic Center, Emek Hefer 40250, Israel. E-mail: eyalg@ruppin.ac.il

683

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2007, **37**, 4, pp. 683–702. © 2007 Copyright the Authors
Journal compilation © 2007 Blackwell Publishing, Inc.